DE 18 7007 THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

[12-26-0

TATER THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Morgan

Serial No.: 09/088,674

Filed: 06/02/1998

For:

Art Unit: 2674

Examiner: Nguyen, Kevin M.

Docket No. TI-25995

BOUNDARY DISPERSION FOR MITIGATING PWM TEMPORAL CONTOURING

ARTIFACTS IN DIGITAL DISPLAYS

RECEIVED

RESPONSE

DEC 2 0 2002

12 December 2002

Technology Center 2600

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

MAILING CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. ≥1.8(A)

I hereby certify that the above correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal
Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for
Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date shown below.

| 12-12-2002|
| Charles A. Brill | Date

Dear Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Action mailed 13 August 2002, applicant responds as follows:

REMARKS

This application was originally filed on 2 June 1998 with ten claims, two of which were written in independent form. No claims have been allowed. Claims 1 and 6 were amended on 4 October 2000.

Claims 1-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,053,764 to Barbier et al. (Barbier). The applicant respectfully disagrees and submits the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of anticipation under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

"A person shall be entitled to a patent unless," creates an initial presumption of patentability in favor of the applicant. 35 U.S.C. § 102. "We think the precise language of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that, "a person shall be entitled to a patent unless," concerning novelty and unobviousness, clearly places a burden of proof on the Patent Office which requires it to produce the factual basis for its rejection of an application under sections 102 and 103, see Graham and Adams." *In re Warner*, 379 F.2d 1011, 1016 (C.C.P.A. 1967) (referencing *Graham v. John*